

ISOLATED RATIONAL CURVES ON $K3$ -FIBERED CALABI–YAU THREEFOLDS

by Torsten Ekedahl, Trygve Johnsen, and Dag Einar Sommervoll

Addresses.

Torsten Ekedahl, Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, S-106 91 Stockholm, SWEDEN. E-mail: *teke@matematik.su.se*

Trygve Johnsen, Department of Mathematics, University of Bergen, N-5008 Bergen, NORWAY. E-mail: *johnsen@mi.uib.no*

Dag Einar Sommervoll, Statistics Norway, Dep N-0033 Oslo, NORWAY. E-mail: *des@ssb.no*

Abstract. In this paper we study 16 complete intersection $K3$ -fibered Calabi–Yau variety types in biprojective space $\mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. These are all the CICY-types that are $K3$ fibered by the projection on the second factor. We prove existence of isolated rational curves of bidegree $(d, 0)$ for every positive integer d on a general Calabi–Yau variety of these types. The proof depends heavily on existence theorems for curves on $K3$ -surfaces proved by S. Mori and K. Oguiso. Some of these varieties are related to Calabi–Yau varieties in projective space by a determinantal contraction, and we use this to prove existence of rational curves of every degree for a general complete intersection Calabi–Yau variety in projective space.

Subject Classification. Primary: 14J30. Secondary: 14J28, 14H45.

1. Introduction.

A complete intersection F in a multiprojective space $\mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}$ is given by polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_m$. Each f_j has a multidegree $(g_{1j}, \dots, g_{kj})$. We can visualize these numerical data of F by the following:

$$(0) \quad \begin{array}{c|ccc} n_1 & g_{11} & \cdots & g_{1m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ n_k & g_{k1} & \cdots & g_{km} \end{array}$$

We use $[n||g_{ij}]$ as a short form for this. We say that F is of type $[n||g_{ij}]$.

By a *general* F of type $[n||g_{ij}]$ we understand a generic choice of the defining polynomials f_j of multidegree $(g_{1j}, \dots, g_{kj})$. A nonsingular complete intersection is Calabi–Yau, abbreviated *CY*, if and only if

$$n_i + 1 = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{ij}$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. We then say that the threefold is of *CICY-type*, where *CICY* is an abbreviation for complete intersection Calabi–Yau threefold. We shall use the notion of *CICY-type* for singular varieties as well. A permutation of the polynomials f_j will in general change the matrix $[g_{ij}]$, but define the same variety. We are not interested in distinguishing between the different possibilities of indexing of polynomials and projective spaces, and say that $[n||g_{ij}]$ and $[n^*||g_{ij}^*]$ represent the same type if one can go from one to the other by row interchanges on $[n||g_{ij}]$ and column interchanges on $[n^*||g_{ij}^*]$.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}$ be an irreducible rational curve, and let $f: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C$ be a parametrisation. We have a natural notion of multidegree $(d_1, \dots, d_k)$ of the curve C by defining d_i to be the unique number that satisfies $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_i) \cong (\pi_i \circ f)^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n_i}}(1)$ where π_i denotes the projection on factor i .

Let $Z \subseteq F$ be a closed subscheme. The tangent space of the Hilbert scheme Hilb_F at the point $[Z]$ is isomorphic to $H^0(Z, \mathcal{N}_{Z/F})$.

Definition 1.1. Let Z be a point in Hilb_F . If $H^0(Z, \mathcal{N}_{Z/F}) = 0$, we say that Z is *isolated* in F .

Note that a subscheme Z is isolated if the tangent space at the point of the Hilbert scheme corresponding to Z is zero dimensional. Recall also that Z on F is isolated if Z does not deform to first order on F .

Remark 1.2. Let C be a nonsingular rational curve on a smooth CICY threefold F . The normal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{C/F}$ is a rank 2 locally free sheaf on $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. The pullback of this sheaf to $\mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}(b)$, where $\mathcal{O}(a)$ is short for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)$. Since F is CY we get $a + b = -2$ from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_C \longrightarrow T_F|_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{C/F} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where T_C is the tangent bundle of C and $T_F|_C$ is the tangent bundle of X restricted to C . Hence C is isolated if and only if $\mathcal{N}_{C/F} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$.

The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.3. *There are exactly 16 types of CICY's in biprojective spaces of the form $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1$, for positive integers n . For a general (and hence smooth) CICY of each of these 16 types, and for all positive integers d , there exists an isolated smooth rational curve C of bidegree $(d, 0)$.*

Theorem 1.3 has a nice corollary.

Corollary 1.4. *Let F be a general member of one of the 5 well-known CICY-types in projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^n$, for positive integers n . Then for all positive integers d , there exists a smooth isolated rational curve C of degree d in F .*

Remark 1.5.

While we were working with the material in this paper, we obtained the manuscript ([12]). It is clear that our Corollary 1.4 can be obtained from the results of that paper. Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 of ([12]), in combination with Oguiso/Mori's existence theorem on curves on K3-surfaces, give the conclusion of our Corollary 1.4. Our approach is independent of Kley's, but share the idea, going back to Clemens, of using K3-surfaces to study curves on CICY's.

Our paper is organized as follows. In paragraph 2 we recall some basic facts about K3-surfaces that will be essential in proving our result. The paragraph ends with Proposition 2.4, which will be an important tool in paragraph 4. In paragraph 3 we prove the first part of Theorem 1.3. We give a list of the 16 types of CICY-threefolds in biprojective spaces $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1$ for positive integers n . Furthermore, we show how one can reduce the last part of Theorem 1.3 to showing the existence of one smooth isolated rational bidegree $(d, 0)$ curve C on one special CICY of each type.

In paragraph 4 we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. We use the results from paragraph 3, and we show that for each positive integer d , and each of the 16 CICY-types there exists an isolated smooth rational curve of bidegree $(d, 0)$ contained in a CICY of that type. The main point is to construct suitable F that are $\mathbb{P}^1$ fibrations of $K3$ -surfaces that are complete intersections in projective spaces. We use a result by S. Mori and K. Oguiso (for a more general statement, see Theorem 8.1 of [13]) that gives the existence of a smooth rational curve C of each degree, such that each C is isolated in a $K3$ -surface S . For each of the 16 types we start with such a pair (C, S) , and we show that it is possible to choose the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration such that F is smooth, and C is isolated, not only in S , but also in F . In this analysis the material from paragraph 2 will be essential. In paragraph 5 we describe determinantal contractions of CICY's. We use this description to show how Corollary 1.4 follows from Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4. was proved by H. Clemens for infinitely many d for the quintic in $\mathbb{P}^4$. S. Katz ([11]) gave a proof for the quintic in $\mathbb{P}^4$ for all d and hence proved Corollary 1.4 in this case. K. Oguiso proved Corollary 1.4 in case of the complete intersection $(4, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Our proof is inspired by ([17]). Results like Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 are interesting when one counts rational curves on Calabi–Yau threefolds. Mirror symmetry gives predictions on the number of rational curves of a given (multi)degree on a generic Calabi–Yau threefold. Nevertheless, it remains to prove rigorously that for a generic CICY of a given type, and for a given (multi)degree, there exists a nonempty set of isolated curves of this (multi)degree. For the quintic in $\mathbb{P}^4$ this is essentially Clemens' conjecture. The finiteness has only been proved for $d \leq 9$ ([9],[11],[16]), while the nonemptiness is proved by S. Katz ([11]). The analogue of Clemens' conjecture is wrong for general CICY's in multiprojective spaces in general ([18]). Our results are a step towards proving the analogue of Clemens' conjecture for complete intersections in $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1$ (and in $\mathbb{P}^n$). There is also a more philosophical side of our approach. The moduli space of Calabi–Yau varieties may be connected. This is popularly known as Reid's fantasy. Candelas et al. proved connectedness for complete intersections in multiprojective spaces ([3]). The proof relies on existence of determinantal contractions that give a map from one Calabi–Yau variety in one multiprojective space to another Calabi–Yau in another multiprojective space. This map is an isomorphism outside strata of codimension 2 that are contracted to points. Curves that do not intersect these strata are mapped isomorphically to curves on another Calabi–Yau in another multiprojective space. Our philosophical point of view is therefore that studying rational curves on a Calabi–Yau variety in a multiprojective space is too restrictive. This paper is an illustration of this point. Constructing special $K3$ -fibrations in biprojective spaces does not only prove existence of isolated rational curves of every bidegree $(d, 0)$, but also proves existence of isolated rational curves of every degree d for the five complete intersection Calabi–Yau threefolds in projective spaces.

We thank the Institut Mittag-Leffler for providing a stimulating atmosphere while working on this paper, and the referee for making many good points, including the discovery of one CICY-type. The second author thanks S.L. Kleiman for introducing him to ([12]), and H. Kley for useful discussions about this work.

2. Some basic theory of $K3$ -surfaces.

Let S be a $K3$ -surface. Study the following part of the long exact sequence

obtained from the well-known exponential sequence:

$$0 = H^1(S, \mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(S) = H^1(S, \mathcal{O}^*) \xrightarrow{c} H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\kappa} H^2(S, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}.$$

All $K3$ -surfaces S are diffeomorphic, and $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free of rank 22 for all such S . As usual, fix once and for all an isomorphism between the lattice $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and a standard rank 22 lattice with a known intersection form. This gives an intersection matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ $i, j = 1, \dots, 22$ compatible with the cup-product form on $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$. Then we complexify $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and obtain $H^2(S, \mathbb{C})$. We identify $\mathbb{P}^{21}$ with $\mathbb{P}(H^2(S, \mathbb{C}))$.

Let P be the well-known period map from the set of isomorphism classes of analytical $K3$ -surfaces into $\mathbb{P}^{21}$. In short each isomorphism class determines a unique holomorphic 2-form on S up to multiplicative constant. Integration of this form then gives a well-defined element of $\mathbb{P}^{21} = \mathbb{P}(H^2(S, \mathbb{C}))$, and this element is the image of the isomorphism class by P . We have:

Definition 2.1. Let the subset D of $\mathbb{P}^{21}$ be defined by

$$D = \{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{22}) \mid \sum_{ij} a_{ij} \gamma_i \gamma_j = 0, \sum_{ij} a_{ij} \gamma_i \bar{\gamma}_j > 0\}.$$

D can then be viewed as a moduli space of analytical $K3$ -surfaces (Locally this holds, even for a fixed identification between $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and the standard rank 22 lattice as described above. Taking into account the possibility of different such identifications, one has to divide D with a discrete group to obtain a moduli space of analytical $K3$ -surfaces, but we shall only be interested in the local theory). We see that D may be viewed as “half of a hyperquadric in $\mathbb{P}^{21}$ ”.

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 14 in [13]:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $C_1, \dots, C_s$, for some s in the range $1, \dots, 20$, be independent elements in $\text{Pic}(S)$, for a given fixed (analytical structure on a) $K3$ -surface S . We view $\text{Pic}(S)$ as a subgroup of $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ via the map c above.*

Let H_i be the embedded tangent space at $P(S)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{21}$ of the subset of D consisting of period points of $K3$ -surfaces with C_i in the images of the respective c -maps, for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then the intersection of the H_i is a space of dimension $20 - s$.

Remark 2.3. This means that the set of $K3$ -surfaces that “keep $C_1, \dots, C_s$ ” has dimension at most $20 - s$, even infinitesimally. See also [8], page 594.

Algebraic $K3$ -surfaces in a fixed projective space

Let us study the space R_n of embedded smooth $K3$ -surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$, for $n = 3, 4$. For $n = 3$ this is an open subset of $\mathbb{P}^{34}$, parametrizing quartic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$. For $n = 4$, R_n is an open subset of the set of complete intersections of type $(2, 3)$. For $n = 5$ we define R_n to be the space of all complete intersection $K3$ -surfaces (of type $(2, 2, 2)$). Then R_5 is dense in the set of all embedded smooth $K3$ -surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$, and also in the space of nets of quadric hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$. In each case it is well known, and easy to prove, by a simple dimension count, that there is an 19-dimensional set of projective equivalence classes of $K3$ -surfaces as described. The spaces R_n are smooth of dimensions 34, 42, 54, for $n = 3, 4, 5$ respectively. See [8].

p. 591-594. It is well known that projective equivalence in these cases amount to the same thing as abstract isomorphism, in the sense that two surfaces are mapped to the same point by the period map P described above. Let P_n be the pull-back of the period map P to R_n , and set $D_n = \text{im}(P_n)$.

Maps of tangent spaces

Let S be a fixed $K3$ -surface, corresponding to a point $[S]$ in R_n , for $n = 3, 4$, or 5 . Assume that S contains a smooth rational curve C of degree d , for some positive integer d . Let F inside D_n be the locus of those $K3$ -surfaces that “keep C ” in the sense described above. Look at the following maps:

$$T_{R_n, [S]} \xrightarrow{dP_n} T_{D_n, [S]} \xrightarrow{f} T_{D_n, [S]} / T_{F, [S]} = \mathbb{C}.$$

Here f is the natural quotient map. The quotient to the right is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, since $\dim T_{D_n, [S]} = 19$, and $\dim T_{F, [S]} = 18$, by Proposition 2.2 (Put $C_1 =$ hyperplane section of S in $\mathbb{P}^n$, and $C_2 = C$). Clearly the composite map $f \circ dP_n$ is surjective and linear. Let us define and study natural surjective linear maps $W_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} T_{R_n, [S]}$, where W_n will be $\mathbb{A}^{35}, \mathbb{A}^{15} \times \mathbb{A}^{35}, (\mathbb{A}^{21})^3$, for $n = 3, 4, 5$, respectively. W_3 will parametrize homogeneous quartic polynomials in 4 variables, W_4 will parametrize pairs of homogeneous quadric and cubic polynomials in 5 variables, and W_5 will parametrize triples of homogeneous quadric polynomials in 6 variables. Let S be a $K3$ -surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ with equation $q_4(x_0, \dots, x_3) = 0$. We construct a surjective linear map $W_3 \rightarrow T_{R_3, [S]}$ in the following manner,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_3: p_4(x_0, \dots, x_3) &\longrightarrow \text{“the } K3\text{-surface with equation”}: \\ q_4(x_0, \dots, x_3) + tp_4(x_0, \dots, x_3) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here the polynomial $q_4(x_0, \dots, x_3)$ is fixed. We think of t as an infinitesimal variable with $t^2 = 0$, thus the points of the tangent space $T_{R_3, [S]}$ can be given by such equations $q_4(x_0, \dots, x_3) + tp_4(x_0, \dots, x_3) = 0$ over $C[t]/t^2$. Clearly ϕ_3 is surjective and essentially maps $p_4(x_0, \dots, x_3)$ to its image in the vector space of all quartic polynomials in 4 variables modulo $q_4(x_0, \dots, x_3)$. Let S be a $K3$ -surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with equation $f_2(x_0, \dots, x_4) = f_3(x_0, \dots, x_4) = 0$. In an analogous way we construct a linear map $W_4 \rightarrow T_{R_4, [S]}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_4: (p_2(x_0, \dots, x_4), p_3(x_0, \dots, x_4)) &\longrightarrow \text{“the } K3\text{-surface with equation”}: \\ f_2(x_0, \dots, x_4) + tp_2(x_0, \dots, x_4) &= 0 \\ f_3(x_0, \dots, x_4) + tp_3(x_0, \dots, x_4) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly ϕ_4 is surjective and maps $(p_2(x_0, \dots, x_4), p_3(x_0, \dots, x_4))$ to the pair of images modulo $f_2(x_0, \dots, x_4)$ and $(f_2(x_0, \dots, x_4), f_3(x_0, \dots, x_4))$, respectively. Clearly $T_{R_4, [S]}$ can be viewed as the set of such pairs of all such cosets of quadric and cubic polynomials, respectively. Let S be a $K3$ -surface in $\mathbb{P}^5$ with equation

In an analogous manner we construct a linear map $W_5 \longrightarrow T_{R_5, [S]}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_5: (r_2(x_0, \dots, x_5), s_2(x_0, \dots, x_5), u_2(x_0, \dots, x_5)) &\longrightarrow \text{“the K3-surface”}: \\ g_2(x_0, \dots, x_5) + tr_2(x_0, \dots, x_5) &= 0 \\ h_2(x_0, \dots, x_5) + ts_2(x_0, \dots, x_5) &= 0 \\ i_2(x_0, \dots, x_5) + tu_2(x_0, \dots, x_5) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly ϕ_5 is surjective and maps $(r_2(x_0, \dots, x_5), s_2(x_0, \dots, x_5), u_2(x_0, \dots, x_5))$ to the triple of images modulo $(g_2(x_0, \dots, x_5), h_2(x_0, \dots, x_5), i_2(x_0, \dots, x_5))$. We see that $T_{R_5, [S]}$ can be viewed as the set of such triples of cosets of quadric polynomials. Study the composite surjective, linear map

$$\eta_n = f \circ dP_n \circ \phi_n: W_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ for } n = 3, 4, 5.$$

The surjectivity of the linear map η_n gives:

Proposition 2.4. *The kernel of the linear map η_n is a proper subspace of codimension 1 in W_n .*

In paragraph 5 we will produce some natural subcones of the W_n , arising from CICY’s that are K3-fibrations. We will prove that general points of these cones are not contained in $\ker(\eta_n)$. It will be sufficient to prove that the linear spans of these cones are all of W_n .

3. CICY threefolds in multiprojective spaces.

In the first part of this paragraph we find all CICY-types in a biprojective space of the type $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We want to discard those CICYs that are projected to a zero dimensional set by the second projection. Such threefolds are easily identified with a CICY in $\mathbb{P}^n$ by the first projection.

Definition 3.1. Let F be a complete intersection CY-variety in $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1$. If the projection on the second factor is surjective, then F is $\mathbb{P}^1$ -surjective. We also use this notion for CICY-types, i.e. a CICY-type is $\mathbb{P}^1$ -surjective if the general member is $\mathbb{P}^1$ -surjective.

Lemma 3.2. *There are 16 $\mathbb{P}^1$ -surjective CICY-types and they are divided into the following three groups:*

Group 1.

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{\begin{array}{c|c} 3 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 2 \end{array}} \\ \\ \boxed{\begin{array}{c|c} 4 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 \end{array}} \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{c|c} 4 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 \end{array}} \\ \\ \boxed{\begin{array}{c|c} 5 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 \end{array}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 3 & 2 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 3 & 2 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 3 & 2 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 6 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} & &
 \end{array}$$

Group 3.

$$\begin{array}{cc}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 5 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 5 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 6 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} &
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 6 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 7 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} & &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. We want to determine:

$$(0) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline n_1 & g_{11} & \cdots & g_{1m} \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \hline n_k & g_{k1} & \cdots & g_{km} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

where $k = 2$, and $\sum g_{1j} = n_1 + 1$ and $\sum g_{2j} = 2$, and $n_2 = 1$. Note that a column with only one 1 and rest zeros gives a hyperplane in one of the factors and thus gives a natural identification with a CICY-type in a lower dimensional embedding space. Discarding those, gives $n_1 \leq 7$. To see this, take first $n_1 = 8$. Since we have 6 polynomials in $\mathbb{P}^8 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, at least three of them must have degree 1 in the first factor. Furthermore, since we have at most two nonzero entries on the second factor, at least one of the 1's in the first row must have a zero in its column. Thus the variety can be identified with a variety of a CICY-type a lower dimensional embedding space. If $n_1 > 8$ then the first row must contain more than three 1's and the same argument applies.

Since we are looking at 3-dimensional CY-varieties, we also have $n_1 \geq 3$.

Computation yields the list given above.

Remark 3.3. The reason for the partition of the 16 CICY-types into three groups will become apparent in paragraph 4. Note also that all these CICY-types are $K3$ -fibrations over $\mathbb{P}^1$. In the following we will also adopt a nonfigurative notation for the different CICY-types. For example we will write $X_{4|41,1|11}$ for

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 4 & 4 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

We close this paragraph by proving the following theorem, which will be crucial in paragraphs 4 and 5

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(d_1, \dots, d_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and not all $d_i = 0$. Suppose there exists a CI threefold F of type $[n||g_{ij}]$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}$ containing an isolated nonsingular rational curve C with multidegree $(d_1, \dots, d_k)$. Assume that F is smooth at all points of C , then there exist isolated rational curves with this multidegree on a general CI of type $[n||g_{ij}]$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{M}$ parametrise the space of all smooth rational curves of multidegree $(d_1, \dots, d_k)$, and let $G \subseteq \text{Hilb}(\mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k})$ be the parameter space of CI threefolds of type $[n||g_{ij}]$. Let

$$\mathbb{J}_0 = \{(C, F) \in \mathbb{M} \times G \mid C \subseteq F, \text{ and } F \text{ is nonsingular along } C\}$$

The incidence variety $\mathbb{J}_0$ is equipped with projection maps

$$\pi_1: \mathbb{J}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{M} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_2: \mathbb{J}_0 \longrightarrow G.$$

A standard dimension count gives

$$\dim \mathbb{J}_0 \geq \dim G$$

Let $(C, F) \in \mathbb{J}_0$ be as in the statement of the theorem, and study the map $d\pi_2: T_{\mathbb{J}_0, (C, F)} \longrightarrow T_{G, F}$. Then $\ker d\pi_2 = H^0(N_{C/F}) = 0$. This implies that $d\pi_2$ is injective. It is also surjective, since $\dim \mathbb{J}_0 \geq \dim G$ implies that $\dim T_{\mathbb{J}_0, (C, F)} \geq \dim \mathbb{J}_0 \geq \dim G = \dim T_{G, F}$ (G is smooth at F). The surjectivity of $d\pi_2$ implies that π_2 is dominant since G is irreducible. (The injectivity implies that the component of $\mathbb{J}_0$ has dimension equal to the dimension of G).

4. Existence of isolated rational curves on $\mathbb{P}^1$ -surjective CICYs.

A key tool in this paragraph will be

Proposition 4.1. *Let d be a positive integer. Then:*

- i.) ([15]) There exists a nonsingular quartic K3-surface S_1 in $\mathbb{P}^3$, containing an isolated rational curve C_1 of degree d , for every positive integer d .*
- ii.) ([17]) There exists a nonsingular K3-surface S_2 of type $(2, 3)$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$, containing an isolated nonsingular rational curve C_2 of degree d , for every positive integer d .*
- iii.) ([13]) There exists a nonsingular K3-surface S_3 of type $(2, 2, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$, containing an isolated nonsingular rational curve C_3 of degree d , for every positive integer d .*

Proof. See [15], [17], and Theorem 8.1 of [13].

Remark 4.2. One can prove that S_2 can be taken not only to be smooth, but also to be the intersection of a smooth hyperquadric and a smooth hypercubic. It is easy to see that the hypercubic can be taken to be smooth, given that S_2 is. From the way Oguiso constructed the surface S_2 , it is clear that the rank of the Picard group of this hypersurface is 2, with the rational curve, and a hyperplane section as generators. If the hyperquadric were singular, necessarily with a single singular point, then S_2 would contain a plane cubic curve, with arithmetic genus 1. A study of such a curve contradicts the fact that this curve must be a linear integer combination of the generators of $\text{Pic}(S_2)$. Hence S_2 cannot be singular. We thank Kristian Ranestad for pointing this out to us. It is also obvious that S_3 can be taken to be the intersection of three smooth hyperquadrics. We will assume this.

We will use Proposition 4.1 and some additional argument to prove the main result of this section, which is

Theorem 4.3. *Let d be a positive integer. For each of the 16 $\mathbb{P}^1$ -surjective CICY-types listed in Lemma 3.2, there exists a smooth 3-fold F of that type containing an isolated rational curve C of bidegree $(d, 0)$.*

Proof. Let a positive integer d , and one of the 16 CICY-types be given. We will produce a smooth $K3$ -fibration F over $\mathbb{P}^1$ of this CICY-type such that the fibre over the point $(0, 1)$ is one of the $K3$ -surfaces S_i of Proposition 4.1, containing a curve C_i of degree d for one $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. In addition the fibration will be chosen in such a way that C_i does not deform "sideways" in F , not even infinitesimally. In the language of paragraph 2: the induced infinitesimal deformation of S_i corresponds to an element outside $\ker \eta_n$ for $n = 3, 4$, or 5 . Assume that such an F has been found, and consider (following [17]) the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow N_{C_i/S_i} = \mathcal{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{h} N_{C_i/F} \xrightarrow{g} N_{S_i/F}|_{C_i} = \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 0$$

Since h is injective, the bundle $N_{C_i/F}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$. Assume $N_{C_i/F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$. Then g gives an isomorphism $g': H^0(N_{C_i/F}) \longrightarrow H^0(N_{S_i/F}|_{C_i}) = \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, since $N_{S_i/F} = \mathcal{O}_{S_i}$, we have that the restriction map $\text{Res}: H^0(N_{S_i/F}) \longrightarrow H^0(N_{S_i/F}|_{C_i}) = \mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism. Looking at the combined isomorphism $(g')^{-1} \circ \text{Res}: H^0(N_{S_i/F}) \longrightarrow H^0(N_{C_i/F})$, we see that any non-zero element of $H^0(N_{S_i/F})$ is mapped to a non-zero infinitesimal deformation of C_i along the deformation of S_i of F . This means that $H^0(N_{S_i/F})$ is contained in $\ker(f \circ dP_n)$, in the language of paragraph 2, or that $H^0(N_{S_i/F})$ is in the image $\phi_n(\ker \eta_n)$ (Here a natural identification is made between $H^0(N_{S_i/\mathbb{P}^n})$ and $T_{R_n, [S_i]}$, and $H^0(N_{S_i/F})$ is in a natural way a subset of $H^0(N_{S_i/\mathbb{P}^n})$). This is a contradiction, and hence $N_{C_i/F}$ must be $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$. In other words C_i is isolated in F . Hence, in order to prove the theorem it is enough to construct $K3$ -fibrations in each of the 16 cases satisfying the following properties:

- a. The fibre over the point $(0 : 1) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is one of the S_i from Proposition 4.1.
- b. The induced element of $H^0(N_{S_i/F}) \subseteq H^0(N_{S_i/\mathbb{P}^n}) \subseteq T_{R_n, [S_i]}$ is not contained in $\ker(f \circ dP_n)$.
- c. The constructed F is smooth.

In each of the 16 cases we start with a rational curve C_i inside one of the three $K3$ -surfaces S_i ($i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) constructed by Oguiso and others, depending on whether we are in Group 1, 2 or 3, using the terminology of paragraph 3. The curve C_i is now isolated in S_i . Let the $K3$ -surfaces S_i be given by:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= Z(q_0(x_0, \dots, x_3)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3 \\ S_2 &= Z(k_0(x_0, \dots, x_4), c_0(x_0, \dots, x_4)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^4 \\ S_3 &= Z(k_{01}(x_0, \dots, x_5), k_{02}(x_0, \dots, x_5), k_{03}(x_0, \dots, x_5)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5 \end{aligned}$$

where q_0 is a polynomial of degree 4, k_0 and c_0 are polynomials of degree 2 and 3 respectively, and k_{01}, k_{02}, k_{03} are polynomials of degree 2. In the following we will write $\bar{x}_i$ as a short form for $x_0, \dots, x_i$ in order to make the exposition more transparent. The surface S_1 will after this convention be the zero set of $(q_0(\bar{x}_3))$. In general we will use l, k, c as names for polynomials of degree 1, 2, 3, 4 respectively.

in order to make the degrees manifest in the construction. As seen above we have reserved the subscript zero, for the polynomials defining a special $K3$ surface. A polynomial with a subscript without a zero will unless otherwise stated be a general polynomial of the assigned degree. We treat the three different groups appearing in Lemma 3.2 separately.

In 12 of the 16 cases we will see directly that the linear span of a relevant subset of W_n is all of W_n . See the remark after Proposition 2.4. These cases include the 9 cases that will be mentioned in Remark 5.4. Five of these cases will be used to prove Corollary 1.4 in chapter 5. The remaining cases are irrelevant to the proof of Corollary 1.4, but in order to prove Theorem 1.3 we will show that in the 4 cases not among the 12 mentioned, the linear spans of the corresponding relevant subsets are not contained in $\ker \eta_n$ even if they are not equal to all of W_n . A useful observation will then be: Different sets of equations sometimes give rise to the same $K3$ -surface. The sets $f = g = 0$ and $f = g + kf = 0$, for homogeneous polynomials f, g, k of degrees $d, d', d' - d$, with $d \leq d'$ give the same $K3$ -surface. The first order deformation $f + tm = g + kf = 0$ of the latter set corresponds to the first order deformation $f + tm = g - tkm = 0$ of the first set. Therefore, if $(m, 0) \in \ker \eta_n$, then $(m, -km) \in \ker \eta_n$ and $(0, km) \in \ker \eta_n$ also, for any k . We treat the three different groups appearing in Lemma 3.2 separately.

Consider Group 1. As a typical case, we study:

$$X_{4|41,1|02} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} q_0(\bar{x}_3) + x_4 c_1(\bar{x}_4) &= 0, \\ x_4 z^2 + l_1(\bar{x}_4) y z + l_2(\bar{x}_4) y^2 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

The $K3$ -surface S_1 now sits as the fibre of F over $P = (0 : 1)$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 = \text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[y, z]$. A local parameter of $\mathbb{P}^1$ at P is $t = y/z$, and letting the $K3$ -surface vary in the family, we obtain as a first order deformation (modulo t^2):

$$q_0(\bar{x}_3) - t l_1(\bar{x}_3, 0) c_1(\bar{x}_3, 0) = 0.$$

Recall the map $\eta_n = f \circ dP_n \circ \phi_n : W_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ introduced at the end of paragraph 2. We study the case $n = 3$.

The deformations above correspond to applying the map ϕ_3 (and η_3) to a subset of $W_3 = \mathbb{A}^{35}$ of the following form:

$$\{l_1(\bar{x}_3, 0) c_1(\bar{x}_3, 0)\}$$

We see that the linear span of the subset inside $\mathbb{A}^{35}$ is all of this space. Recall: Any homogeneous polynomial is in the span of all monomials of the degree in question. We also see that the condition that η_3 , restricted to some subdomain of $\mathbb{A}^{35}$ is zero, is equivalent to the condition that η_3 , restricted (only) to all of the linear span of this subdomain of $\mathbb{A}^{35}$ is zero. This is true, simply since $\ker(\eta_3)$ is a linear subspace. But since the linear span of the set in question is all of $\mathbb{A}^{35}$, Proposition 2.4 gives that the set is not contained in the kernel of η_3 . Hence, if l_1, c_1 are chosen generically enough C_1 will not survive in the first order deformations described. The three other cases in Group 1 are treated in a practically identical way. In all cases the linear span of the relevant subdomain is $\mathbb{A}^{35}$.

In Group 2, recall that the equation of S_2 be $k_0(\bar{x}_4) = c_0(\bar{x}_4) = 0$ for smooth hypersurfaces $k_0(\bar{x}_4) = 0$ and $c_0(\bar{x}_4) = 0$. We only treat 3 of the 7 types in Group 2 in detail: Let the equations of F be:

$$\begin{aligned}
 X_{4|32,1|20} : \\
 & k_0(\bar{x}_4) = 0 \\
 & c_0(\bar{x}_4)z^2 + c_1(\bar{x}_4)yz + c_2(\bar{x}_4)y^2 = 0 \\
 X_{4|32,1|02} : \\
 & c_0(\bar{x}_4) = 0 \\
 & k_0(\bar{x}_4)z^2 + k_1(\bar{x}_4)yz + k_2(\bar{x}_4)y^2 = 0 \\
 X_{5|321,1|002} : \\
 & k_0(\bar{x}_4) + x_5l_1(\bar{x}_5) = 0 \\
 & c_0(\bar{x}_4) + x_5k_1(\bar{x}_5) = 0 \\
 & x_5z^2 + l_2(\bar{x}_5)yz + l_3(\bar{x}_5)y^2 = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

respectively. The remaining 3 types essentially behave as the third among these 3 listed types. Working locally, as in Group 1, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_0(\bar{x}_4) + tc_1(\bar{x}_4) &= k_0(\bar{x}_4) = 0, \\
 k_0(\bar{x}_4) + tk_1(\bar{x}_4) &= c_0(\bar{x}_4) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_0(\bar{x}_4) - tl_2(\bar{x}_4, 0)l_1(\bar{x}_4, 0) &= 0 \\
 c_0(\bar{x}_4) - tl_2(\bar{x}_4, 0)k_1(\bar{x}_4, 0) &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

We recall that the equation of S_2 is $k_0(\bar{x}_4) = c_0(\bar{x}_4) = 0$. In analogy with case Group 1 we apply the linear map ϕ_4 from the parameter space $\mathbb{A}^{15} \times \mathbb{A}^{35}$ of pairs of homogeneous quadric polynomials and cubic polynomials in 5 variables $\bar{x}_4$ to the tangent space $T_{R_4, [S_2]}$. The deformations above correspond to applying ϕ_4 on subsets of the forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{(0, c_1(\bar{x}_4))\}, \\
 & \{(k_1(\bar{x}_4), 0)\}, \\
 & \{(l_2(\bar{x}_4, 0)l_1(\bar{x}_4, 0), l_2(\bar{x}_4, 0)k_1(\bar{x}_4, 0))\},
 \end{aligned}$$

respectively. In the third case (and the 4 cases corresponding to the types not listed), one easily sees that the linear span of the subsets is all of $\mathbb{A}^{15} \times \mathbb{A}^{35}$. Put $k_1 = 0$, and thereafter; $l_1 = 0$. This gives $(l_2l_1, 0)$ and $(0, l_2k_2)$. The rest is as in Group 1. Now consider the second of the first two cases where the linear span is not all $\mathbb{A}^{15} \times \mathbb{A}^{35}$. In fact the desired deformations only correspond to $\mathbb{A}^{15} \times 0$. On the other hand, if all of $\mathbb{A}^{15} \times 0$ were contained in $\ker(\phi_4)$, then for all $k_1(\bar{x}_4)$, the

$$k_0(\bar{x}_4) + tk_1(\bar{x}_4) = c_0(\bar{x}_4) = 0$$

would give deformations such that C_2 deforms in the family. But then

$$k_0(\bar{x}_4) = c_0(\bar{x}_4) + tk_1(\bar{x}_4)l_1(\bar{x}_4) = 0$$

would give the same thing, for all (the same) $k_1(\bar{x}_4)$ and all linear forms $l_1(\bar{x}_4)$, and $0 \times \mathbb{A}^{35}$ would be contained in $\ker(\eta_4)$. Hence the linear span, $\mathbb{A}^{15} \times \mathbb{A}^{35}$, of the union of $\mathbb{A}^{15} \times 0$ and $0 \times \mathbb{A}^{35}$, would be contained in $\ker(\eta_4)$, again a contradiction.

The last case can be handled by a modified version of the strategy working for the other cases considered above. Look at the maps

$$f \circ dP_4 \circ \phi_4: \{0\} \times \mathbb{A}^{35} \longrightarrow T_{R_4, [S]} \longrightarrow T_{D_4, [S]} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

Here the first map is certainly not surjective, but the composite map is. This is true since all smooth quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^4$ are projectively equivalent.

That is: Infinitesimal deformations of $K3$ -surfaces from S of type:

$$c_0(\bar{x}_4) + tc_1(\bar{x}_4) = k_0(\bar{x}_4) = 0,$$

are as general as desired, when intrinsic properties, like containing a smooth rational curve, are concerned. See also [17] for another formulation of the argument.

Finally we treat Group 3. We only treat 3 of the 5 types in Group 3 in detail: Let the equations of S_3 be $k_{01}(\bar{x}_5) = k_{02}(\bar{x}_5) = k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) = 0$. Let the equations of F be:

$$X_{5|222,1|200} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_{01}(\bar{x}_5)z^2 + k_1(\bar{x}_5)yz + k_2(\bar{x}_5)y^2 &= 0 \\ k_{02}(\bar{x}_5) &= k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$X_{5|222,1|110} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_{01}(\bar{x}_5)z + k_1(\bar{x}_5)y &= 0 \\ k_{02}(\bar{x}_5)z + k_2(\bar{x}_5)y &= 0 \\ k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$X_{7|22211,1|00011} :$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_{01}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_1(\bar{x}_6) + x_7l_2(\bar{x}_7) &= 0 \\ k_{02}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_3(\bar{x}_6) + x_7l_4(\bar{x}_7) &= 0 \\ k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_5(\bar{x}_6) + x_7l_6(\bar{x}_7) &= 0 \\ x_6z + l_7(\bar{x}_7)y &= 0 \\ x_7z + l_8(\bar{x}_7)y &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The two remaining types are essentially treated as the last of the 3 types listed. From a local viewpoint, these cases turn into:

$$k_{01}(\bar{x}_5) + tk_1(\bar{x}_5) = k_{02}(\bar{x}_5) = k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) = 0,$$

and

$$k_{01}(\bar{x}_5) + tk_1(\bar{x}_5) = k_{02}(\bar{x}_5) + tk_2(\bar{x}_5) = k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} k_{01}(\bar{x}_5) - tl_7(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0)l_1(\bar{x}_5, 0) - tl_8(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0)l_2(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0) &= 0 \\ k_{02}(\bar{x}_5) - tl_7(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0)l_3(\bar{x}_5, 0) - tl_8(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0)l_4(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0) &= 0 \\ k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) - tl_7(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0)l_5(\bar{x}_5, 0) - tl_8(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0)l_6(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that the equation of S_3 is

$$k_{01}(\bar{x}_5) = k_{02}(\bar{x}_5) = k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) = 0.$$

In analogy with Group 1 we apply the linear map ϕ_5 from the parameter space $(\mathbb{A}^{21})^3$ of triples of homogeneous quadric polynomials in 6 variables $\bar{x}_5$ to the tangent space $T_{R_5[S_3]}$. The deformations above correspond to applying ϕ_5 on the subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(k_1(\bar{x}_5), 0, 0), \{k_1(\bar{x}_5), k_2(\bar{x}_5), 0\} \\ &\{(-l_7(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0)l_1(\bar{x}_5, 0) - \\ &l_8(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0)l_2(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0), -l_7(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0)l_3(\bar{x}_5, 0) - \\ &l_8(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0)l_4(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0), -l_7(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0)l_5(\bar{x}_5, 0) - \\ &l_8(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0)l_6(\bar{x}_5, 0, 0))\}. \end{aligned}$$

The linear span of the third subset is $(\mathbb{A}^{21})^3$. In the first case the linear span is $\mathbb{A}^{21} \times 0 \times 0$. On the other hand, if both $\mathbb{A}^{21} \times 0 \times 0$, and $0 \times \mathbb{A}^{21} \times 0$, and $0 \times 0 \times \mathbb{A}^{21}$, all were contained in $\ker(\eta_5)$, then the linear span of the union of these 3 sets, i.e. $\mathbb{A}^{21} \times \mathbb{A}^{21} \times \mathbb{A}^{21}$, would be, again a contradiction. Hence one of these 3 sets is not contained in the union, and by interchanging the roles of $k_{01}(\bar{x}_5)$, $k_{02}(\bar{x}_5)$, $k_{03}(\bar{x}_5)$ if necessary we obtain an element outside $\ker(\eta_5)$. This argument certainly applies to give a proof for the second case also, since a desired deformations in the first case also is included in the second case.

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.3 it is enough to prove that in each of the 16 cases listed above, a general F as constructed, is smooth. To do so we will use the following version (extension) of Bertini's theorem, as given in [10].

Lemma 4.4 ([10]). *On an arbitrary ambient variety V , if a linear system has no fixed components, then the general member has no singular points outside of the union of the base locus of the system and the singular locus of the ambient variety.*

Repeated usage of this result in each of the 16 cases will solve the problem. We will be able to put ourselves in a position where the ambient varieties always are smooth.

As an example take the case $X_{4|32,1|20}$:

$$c_0(\bar{x}_4)z^2 + c_1(\bar{x}_4)yz + c_2(\bar{x}_4)y^2 = k_0(\bar{x}_4) = 0.$$

Here the fixed smooth hypersurfaces $Z(k_0(\bar{x}_4))$ and $Z(c_0(\bar{x}_4))$ cut out the smooth surface S_2 in $\mathbb{P}^4$. On the smooth ambient variety $V_1 = Z(k_0(\bar{x}_4))$ in $\mathbb{P}^4 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ we study the linear system

$$\mathcal{L} = \{c_0(\bar{x}_4)z^2 + c_1(\bar{x}_4)yz + c_2(\bar{x}_4)y^2 \mid c_0(\bar{x}_4) \text{ and } c_1(\bar{x}_4) \text{ arbitrary cubics}\}$$

This linear system has no fixed components, and the base locus of $\mathfrak{L}_1$ is $Z(c_0(\bar{x}_4), k_0(\bar{x}_4), y)$ in $\mathbb{P}^4 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, that is S_2 . But since S_2 is the scheme-theoretical complete intersection in V_1 of any element l of $\mathfrak{L}_1$ with the hypersurfaces $c_0(\bar{x}_4)$ and y , this element l cannot be singular at a point in S_2 without S_2 being so. Hence all elements of $\mathfrak{L}_1$ are smooth at all points of the base locus of $\mathfrak{L}_1$. Since a general element is smooth outside the base locus, by Lemma 4.4, a general element of $\mathfrak{L}_1$ is smooth. Hence a general F of type $X_{4|32,1|20}$ is smooth. This was a relatively easy type to handle. Other easy types, which can be handled in a similar way, are: $X_{3|4,1|1}, X_{4|32,1|02}, X_{5|222,1|200}$. In these cases it suffices to apply Lemma 4.4. once. As another (and slightly more involved) example take the case $X_{5|411,1|011}$:

$$q_0(\bar{x}_3) + x_4c_1(\bar{x}_4) + x_5c_2(\bar{x}_5) = x_4z + l_1(\bar{x}_5)y = x_5z + l_2(\bar{x}_5)y = 0.$$

First, let the ambient variety V be all of $\mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, which is smooth. The linear systems

$$\mathfrak{L}_1 = \{q_0(\bar{x}_3) + x_4c_1(\bar{x}_4) + x_5c_2(\bar{x}_5) \mid c_1(\bar{x}_4) \text{ and } c_2(\bar{x}_5) \text{ arbitrary cubics}\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{L}_0 = \{q_0(\bar{x}_3) + x_4c_1(\bar{x}_4) \mid c_1(\bar{x}_4) \text{ arbitrary cubic}\}$$

have no fixed components, and the base loci are $q_0(\bar{x}_3) = x_4 = x_5 = 0$ and $q_0(\bar{x}_3) = x_4 = 0$, respectively. These loci are isomorphic to $S_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and a x_5 -cone over $S_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, respectively, for the smooth quartic S_1 in $\mathbb{P}^3$ from Proposition 4.1. Hence the first base locus, say B_1 , is smooth, and the second, say B_0 , is singular only along $(0, \dots, 0, 1) \times \mathbb{P}^1$. But B_1 is also the scheme-theoretical intersection of any member of $\mathfrak{L}_1$ and $Z(x_4, x_5)$. Since B_1 is smooth of dimension 3, no member of $\mathfrak{L}_1$ can then be singular at any point of B_1 , and the general members of $\mathfrak{L}_1$ is then smooth everywhere, in virtue of Lemma 4.4. By an analogous argument a general member of $\mathfrak{L}_0$ can only be singular along $(0, \dots, 0, 1) \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Hence there exists an element $q_0(\bar{x}_3) + x_4c_1(\bar{x}_4) + x_5c_2(\bar{x}_5)$ of $\mathfrak{L}_1$, such that $Z(q_0(\bar{x}_3) + x_4c_1(\bar{x}_4) + x_5c_2(\bar{x}_5))$ is smooth, and $Z(q_0(\bar{x}_3) + x_4c_1(\bar{x}_4))$ is singular only along $(0, \dots, 0, 1) \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Fix $Z(q_0(\bar{x}_3) + x_4c_1(\bar{x}_4) + x_5c_2(\bar{x}_5))$ as the new ambient variety V_2 . We denote $Z(q_0(\bar{x}_3) + x_4c_1(\bar{x}_4), x_5, y)$ by G . This is smooth, since $Z(q_0(\bar{x}_3) + x_4c_1(\bar{x}_4))$ is smooth for all points with $x_5 = 0$. From now on $c_1(\bar{x}_4)$ and $c_2(\bar{x}_5)$ are fixed. Next, consider the linear system on V_2 :

$$\mathfrak{L}_2 = \{x_5z + l_2(\bar{x}_5)y \mid l_2(\bar{x}_5) \text{ arbitrary}\}.$$

This system has no fixed component, and the base locus is $V_2 \cap Z(x_5, y)$. This is the smooth quartic hypersurface G in $\mathbb{P}^4$. It is clear that all members of $\mathfrak{L}_2$ are smooth on G (On each point of G , $y = 0$, and hence z can be taken to be one, and hence we may express properly dehomogenized versions of $q_0(\bar{x}_3) + x_4c_1(\bar{x}_4) + x_5c_2(\bar{x}_5)$ and $x_5z + l_2(\bar{x}_5)y$ in terms of local parameters around any point p of G , such that these expressions are independent modulo the square of the maximal ideal of p). Hence a general element of $\mathfrak{L}_2$ will give a smooth submanifold of V_2 . Fix one such element, that is, fix $l_2(\bar{x}_5)$. This gives a smooth submanifold V_3 given as

Next, consider the linear system on V_3 :

$$\mathfrak{L}_3 = \{x_4z + l_1(\bar{x}_5)y \mid l_1(\bar{x}_5) \text{ arbitrary}\}.$$

This linear system has no fixed component, and the basis locus is given as $Z(q_0(\bar{x}_3), x_4, x_5, y)$. This is S_1 , a smooth surface. But $S_1 = V(y|V_3, l|V_3)$, scheme-theoretically, for each l in $\mathfrak{L}_3$, so no such l in $\mathfrak{L}_3$ can be singular on S_1 . Hence a general member of $\mathfrak{L}_3$ is smooth. This gives that a general F as constructed, of the type $X_{5|411,1|011}$ is a smooth 3-fold. Other cases that can be treated in a very similar way are: $X_{5|321,1|002}$, $X_{5|321,1|011}$, $X_{5|321,1|101}$.

Intermediate cases (where we need to use Lemma 4.4 twice) are:

$$X_{4|41,1|02}, X_{4|41,1|11}, X_{4|32,1|11}, X_{5|222,1|200}.$$

The cases $X_{6|2221,1|0002}$, $X_{6|2221,1|0011}$, $X_{7|22211,1|00011}$ are similar to each other, but no essentially new technique is required. As an example we treat the case $X_{7|22211,1|00011}$:

$$\begin{aligned} k_{01}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_1(\bar{x}_6) + x_7l_2(\bar{x}_7) &= 0 \\ k_{02}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_3(\bar{x}_6) + x_7l_4(\bar{x}_7) &= 0 \\ k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_5(\bar{x}_6) + x_7l_6(\bar{x}_7) &= 0 \\ x_6z + l_7(\bar{x}_7)y &= 0 \\ x_7z + l_8(\bar{x}_7)y &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.4 several times as in the first part of the proof in the case of type $X_{5|411,1|011}$, we obtain that for a generic fixed choice of $l_1(\bar{x}_6)$, $l_2(\bar{x}_7)$, $l_3(\bar{x}_6)$, $l_4(\bar{x}_7)$, $l_5(\bar{x}_6)$, $l_6(\bar{x}_7)$ both the $(2, 2, 2)$ four-fold

$$\begin{aligned} k_{01}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_1(\bar{x}_6) + x_7l_2(\bar{x}_7) &= 0 \\ k_{02}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_3(\bar{x}_6) + x_7l_4(\bar{x}_7) &= 0 \\ k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_5(\bar{x}_6) + x_7l_6(\bar{x}_7) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathbb{P}^7$ and the $(2, 2, 2)$ threefold

$$\begin{aligned} k_{01}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_1(\bar{x}_6) &= 0 \\ k_{02}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_3(\bar{x}_6) &= 0 \\ k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_5(\bar{x}_6) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathbb{P}^6$ are smooth, and so are their respective counterparts in $\mathbb{P}^7 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, given by the same equations. We stick to such a fixed generic choice of the 6 linear functions. Let the new ambient space V_1 be defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} k_{01}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_1(\bar{x}_6) + x_7l_2(\bar{x}_7) &= 0 \\ k_{02}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_3(\bar{x}_6) + x_7l_4(\bar{x}_7) &= 0 \\ k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6l_5(\bar{x}_6) + x_7l_6(\bar{x}_7) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathbb{P}^7 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\mathfrak{L}_1$ be the linear system

$$\{x_4z + l_1(\bar{x}_5)y \mid l_1(\bar{x}_5) \text{ is arbitrary}\}$$

on V_1 . The base locus of $\mathfrak{L}_1$ is V_1 is $Z(\bar{x}_7, y)$, which is the smooth 3-fold in $\mathbb{P}^6$ referred to. This is the scheme-theoretical intersection of $Z(\bar{x}_7, y)$ on V_1 and every element of $\mathfrak{L}_1$; hence every element of $\mathfrak{L}_1$ is smooth along this base locus, and consequently a generic element is smooth everywhere. Choose one such fixed element, that is fix $l_8(\bar{x}_7)$, and let the new ambient variety V_2 , be cut out by this element on V_1 . At last study the linear system

$$\mathfrak{L}_2 = \{x_6z + l_6(\bar{x}_7)y \mid l_6(\bar{x}_7) \text{ is arbitrary}\}$$

on V_2 . The base locus is

$$Z(y, k_{01}(\bar{x}_5), k_{02}(\bar{x}_5), k_{03}(\bar{x}_5)) = S_3,$$

which is also the scheme-theoretical complete intersection of y and any element of on V_2 . Hence any such section is smooth on S_3 , and a generic section is smooth everywhere. Hence a generic 3-fold F constructed of type $X_{7|22211,1|00011}$ is smooth.

5. Determinantal contractions.

In this section we will prove Corollary 1.4. First we will give a way to relate a certain CICY in one multiprojective space to a CICY in another multiprojective space. The method of determinantal contractions is introduced in [2]. The construction is used in [3] to show that the moduli space of CICY varieties is connected. We use the same notation as introduced in the paragraph 1.

Let X be a CICY of type:

$$(5.1) \quad \boxed{\begin{array}{c|cccc} N & M & a_0 & \cdots & a_n \\ n & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{array}}$$

Here we have introduced a block notation. The N is a column consisting of the dimensions of the first $k-1$ projective spaces. Likewise M is a block matrix where the rows are the multidegrees of the first $m-n-1$ polynomials in the $k-1$ first projective spaces. The a_i 's are $(k-1)$ -dimensional vectors. The zero in the last row is a short way of writing $m-n-1$ zeros. In the following we denote the last $n+1$ polynomials by $q_0, \dots, q_n$. We can write the q_i 's as

$$\begin{aligned} q_0 &= q_{00}x_0 + \cdots + q_{0n}x_n \\ &\vdots \\ q_n &= q_{n0}x_0 + \cdots + q_{nn}x_n \end{aligned}$$

where $x_0, \dots, x_n$ are the projective coordinates in the projective space singled out in the last row of (5.1). We use P for the product of the remaining $k-1$ projective spaces in order to keep the notation simple. We can write this on matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_0 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} q_{00} & \cdots & q_{0n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ q_{n0} & \cdots & q_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

That some point $(a, b) \in P \times \mathbb{P}^n$ is in the common zero set of the q_i 's, is equivalent to a being in the zero set of $\det(A)$, since not all the x_i 's can vanish. In other words, the projection of F on the factor P gives us a new variety F_s of type:

$$\boxed{N \parallel M \quad \text{mult}|A|}$$

where $\text{mult}|A|$ denotes the multidegree of the determinant of A . This is a singular variety in general.

Proposition 5.1. *Let F be a CICY of dimension m in a multiprojective space. Suppose that there exists a determinantal contraction $f: F \longrightarrow F_s$. Let*

$$F_s^r = \{p \mid \dim f^{-1}(p) \geq r - 1\}.$$

Then F_s^r is the zero set of the $(n - r + 2)$ -minors of A , and the fiber over each point $q \in F_s^r - F_s^{r+1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$.

Proof. We use the notation introduced above, i.e., F is of type

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c|ccc} N & M & a_0 & \cdots & a_n \\ n & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{array}}$$

and F_s is of type

$$\boxed{N \parallel M \quad \text{mult}|A|}$$

and is defined by the zero set of the first $m - n - 1$ polynomials defining F and the determinant of the following matrix:

$$(5.3) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} q_{00} & \cdots & q_{0n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ q_{n0} & \cdots & q_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & P \times \mathbb{P}^n \\ \bar{p}r_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow pr_1 \\ X_s & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

where pr_1 is the projection on the first factor and $\bar{p}r_1$ its restriction.

This means that two points $p = q \times s$ and $p' = q' \times s'$ are mapped to the same point in F_s if and only if $q = q'$. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}_k$ be polynomials defining F , and let q be a point in F_s . Then $q \times v$ is in the fiber over q if and only if $Aq = 0$ where A is the matrix associated to f as above. In other words the points

in the fiber are naturally identified with the kernel of the map $A: \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$, affinely. Hence, if $q \in F_s^r - F_s^{r+1}$ then the fiber has affine dimension r . This gives $pr_1^{-1}(q) \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. $\square$

Remark 5.2. Let Y be a threefold with an isolated singularity p . Assume that there exists a nonsingular variety Y' and a morphism

$$Y' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y,$$

such that $\pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and $Y' - \pi^{-1}(p) \cong Y - \{p\}$. Then we say that $Y' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ is a small resolution of the singularity p ([1]). Furthermore, if Y contains several isolated singularities, and we can find a Y' such that Y' is, locally around each singularity on Y , a small resolution, then we say that $Y' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ is a small resolution of Y .

Lemma 5.3. *Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth subvariety, and let $S = Z(Q_0, L_0) \cap V$ be a smooth codimension 2 subvariety of V , where $\deg(Q_0) = s$, and $\deg(L_0) = t$. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be the linear system $\{Q_0L_1 - Q_1L_0\}$ on V , where L_1 varies through all t -ics and Q_1 varies through all s -ics. Then for a general element l of $\mathfrak{L}$, $\text{Sing}(l) = Z(Q_0, Q_1, L_0, L_1)$. In general $Z(Q_0, Q_1, L_0, L_1) \cap V$ will be a smooth manifold of degree $s^2t^2 \deg V$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4 a general V has no singular points outside the base locus of $\mathfrak{L}$. This base locus is S . For each point p in V , let W be the subspace of $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ spanned by the gradients of a set of generators of $I(V)$. For p in V and l in $\mathfrak{L}$ we have that p belongs to $\text{Sing}(l)$ iff

$$(5.4) \quad \nabla l(p) = \left(\frac{\partial l}{\partial x_0}(p), \dots, \frac{\partial l}{\partial x_n}(p) \right) \in W.$$

Set $l = Q_0L_1 - Q_1L_0$. Then

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial x_i}(p) = Q_0(p) \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial x_i}(p) + L_1(p) \frac{\partial Q_0}{\partial x_i}(p) - Q_1(p) \frac{\partial L_0}{\partial x_i}(p) - L_0(p) \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x_i}(p),$$

for $i = 0, \dots, n$

For points in the base locus $Z(Q_0, L_0) \subseteq V$ this reduces to:

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial x_i}(p) = L_1(p) \frac{\partial Q_0}{\partial x_i}(p) - Q_1(p) \frac{\partial L_0}{\partial x_i}(p), \quad i = 0, \dots, n$$

Hence (5.4) reduces to $[L_1(p) \ Q_1(p)]M \in W$, where the rows of M is a matrix with rows that are the gradients of Q_0 and $-L_0$ at p , respectively. The subvariety S is smooth of codimension 2 at p , so the rows are independent. Hence, for a general element l of $\mathfrak{L}$, $\text{Sing}(l) = Z(Q_0, Q_1, L_0, L_1)$. The last assertion of the lemma is immediate.

Application to complete intersection Calabi–Yau manifolds in projective space.

In the previous section we constructed isolated rational curves of multidegree $(d, 0)$ for every integer d , and for every $\mathbb{P}^1$ -surjective CICY-type in biprojective space. Some of these CICY-types allow a determinantal contraction to mildly singular Calabi-Yau varieties in a projective space of dimension 4, 5, 6 or 7. We will use this to show existence of isolated rational curves of every degree on generic CICYs in these projective spaces. There are 5 types $X_{4|5}$, $X_{5|42}$, $X_{5|33}$, $X_{6|332}$, $X_{7|2222}$. Consider first a CICY F of the type $X_{4|41,1|11}$ constructed in the previous section.

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_0(\bar{x}_3) + x_4 c_1(\bar{x}_4) & q_1(\bar{x}_4) \\ x_4 & l_1(\bar{x}_4) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ y \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

In the following denote the 2×2 matrix by A . The threefold $X_{4|41,1|11}$ has a determinantal contraction to the quintic $F_s = Z(\det(A)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^4$. Explicitly F_s is the zero-set of the polynomial: $(q(\bar{x}_3) + x_4 c_1(\bar{x}_4))l_1(\bar{x}_4) - x_4 q_1(\bar{x}_4)$. By letting $Q_0 = q(\bar{x}_3) + x_4 c_1(\bar{x}_4)$ and $L_0 = x_4$ and $V = \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and using Lemma 5.3 we get that F_s has exactly the 16 singular points given by the vanishing of the four polynomials $q_0(\bar{x}_3), x_4, q_1(\bar{x}_4), l_1(\bar{x}_4)$.

Let $\pi: F \rightarrow F_s$ be the contraction map. As noted before the fiber over each singular point is a $\mathbb{P}^1$. By construction F contains a rational curve C_1 of bidegree $(d, 0)$. Furthermore, if C_1 does not intersect any of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ contracted by π , then the image of C_1 by π is an isolated curve on F_s . This is possible to achieve. First observe that the intersection between S_1 in F and a $\mathbb{P}^1$ that is contracted is transversal, i.e. gives a point in S . Furthermore, varying $q_1(\bar{x}_4)$ and $l_1(\bar{x}_4)$ moves the 16 intersection points freely in S_1 . But $q_1(\bar{x}_4)$ and $l_1(\bar{x}_4)$ were chosen to be generic (by construction of F in the previous paragraph). Hence, C_1 does not intersect any of the $\mathbb{P}^1$'s contracted by π . Denote the image of C_1 by D . By construction $N_{D/F_s} \cong N_{C_1/F} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$. Then Corollary 1.4 follows from Theorem 3.4 in case of quintic threefolds in $\mathbb{P}^4$.

The other four CICY-types:

$X_{5|33}$:

Here we consider the following F of type $X_{5|321,1|011}$ with matrix A given by:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} k_0(\bar{x}_4) + x_5 l_1(\bar{x}_5) & k_1(\bar{x}_5) \\ x_5 & l_2(\bar{x}_5) \end{pmatrix}$$

$X_{5|42}$:

Here we consider the following F of type $X_{5|321,1|101}$ with matrix A given by:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} c_0(\bar{x}_4) + x_5 k_1(\bar{x}_5) & c_1(\bar{x}_5) \\ x_5 & l_2(\bar{x}_5) \end{pmatrix}$$

$X_{6|332}$:

Here we consider the following F of type $X_{6|2221,1|1001}$ with matrix A given by:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} k_{01}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6 l_1(\bar{x}_6) & k_1(\bar{x}_6) \\ x_6 & l_4(\bar{x}_5) \end{pmatrix}$$

$X_{7|2222}$:

Here we consider the following F of type $X_{7|2222,1|10001}$ with matrix A given by:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x_6 & l_7(\bar{x}_7) \\ x_7 & l_8(\bar{x}_7) \end{pmatrix}$$

As another example, let us study the last case in detail. We will study the contraction $\pi; F \longrightarrow F_s$. Let V be defined by:

$$X_{7|22211,1|00011} :$$

$$k_{01}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6 l_1(\bar{x}_6) + x_7 l_2(\bar{x}_7) = 0$$

$$k_{02}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6 l_3(\bar{x}_6) + x_7 l_4(\bar{x}_7) = 0$$

$$k_{03}(\bar{x}_5) + x_6 l_5(\bar{x}_6) + x_7 l_6(\bar{x}_7) = 0$$

Take $Q_0 = x_6$ and $L_0 = x_7$. We see that Q_0 and L_0 cut out

$$S_3 = Z(k_{01}(\bar{x}_5), k_{02}(\bar{x}_5), k_{03}(\bar{x}_5), x_6, x_7)$$

inside V , and that S_3 is smooth of codimension 2 in V . Hence Lemma 5.3 can be used. The threefold $F_s = V \cap Z(x_6 l_8(\bar{x}_7) - x_7 l_7(\bar{x}_7))$ will only be singular at the 8 points given by the vanishing of the 7 polynomials

$$k_{01}(\bar{x}_5), k_{02}(\bar{x}_5), k_{03}(\bar{x}_5), l_7(\bar{x}_7), l_8(\bar{x}_7), x_6, x_7.$$

for general $l_7(\bar{x}_5)$ and $l_8(\bar{x}_5)$.

Furthermore, for general $l_7(\bar{x}_7)$ and $l_8(\bar{x}_7)$ the isolated bidegree $(d, 0)$ curve C_3 in S_3 does not intersect any of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ contracted by π . Hence, the image of C_3 by π is a curve D of degree d . By construction $N_{D/F_s} \cong N_{C_1/F} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$. Then Corollary 1.4 follows from Theorem 3.4 in this case.

Remark 5.4. In order to prove Corollary 1.4 we used five determinantal contractions. There are 9 of the 16 CICY-types of Lemma 3.2 that have determinantal contractions. The four “unused” CICY-types with such contractions are $X_{5|411,1|011}$, $X_{5|222,1|110}$, $X_{4|32,1|11}$, $X_{6|3211,1|0011}$. The first two can be used to give additional proofs of Corollary 1.4. in case of $X_{5|42}$. These two additional proofs use the rigid curves on S_2 and S_3 respectively. Hence the $X_{5|42}$ case can be proved using any of the three surfaces S_1, S_2, S_3 . The contraction $X_{4|32,1|11}$ can be used to give an additional proof of Corollary 1.4 in the $X_{4|5}$ case (using S_2), while the contraction $X_{6|3211,1|0011}$ can be used in the $X_{6|322}$ -case. For the remaining CICY-types we have no choice of determinantal contraction.

References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, *On analytic surfaces with double points*, Proc. Roy. Soc., **A247**(1958), 237–244.
- [2] P. Candelas, A. M. Dale, C. A. Lütken, R. Schimmrigk, *Complete intersection Calabi–Yau manifolds*, Nucl. Phys. **B298** (1988), 493–525.
- [3] P. Candelas, P.S. Green, T. Hübsch, *Rolling among Calabi–Yau vacua*, Nucl. Phys. **B330** (1990), 49–102.
- [4] P. Candelas, C. A. Lütken, R. Schimmrigk, *Complete intersection Calabi–Yau manifolds II Three generation manifolds*,
- [5] H. Clemens, *Homological equivalence, modulo algebraic equivalence, is not finitely generated*, Publ. Math. IHES **58** (1982) 19–38.

- [6] P. S. Green, T. Hübsch, *Possible phase transitions among Calabi–Yau compactifications*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61** (1988), 1163–1166.
- [7] P. S. Green, T. Hübsch, *Calabi–Yau Manifolds as Complete Intersections in Products of Complex Projective Spaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. **109** (1987), 99–108.
- [8] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley, 1978.
- [9] T. Johnsen, S. L. Kleiman, *Rational curves of degree at most 9 on a general quintic threefold*, Comm. Alg. **24(8)** (1996), 2721–2753.
- [10] S. L. Kleiman, *Bertini and his two fundamental theorems*, Rendic. del circ. Matem. di Palermo, serie II, Supplemento (1987).
- [11] S. Katz, *On finiteness of rational curves on quintic threefolds*, Compositio Math. **60** (1986), 151–162.
- [12] H. P. Kley, *Rigid curves in quintic threefolds*, Preprint (1996)
- [13] A. L. Knutsen, *On Degrees and Genera of Smooth Curves on Projective K3 surfaces*, math.AG/9805140, (1998)
- [14] K. Kodaira, *On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces, I*, American J. Math. **86** (1964), 751–98.
- [15] S. Mori, *On degrees and genera of curves on smooth quartic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^3$* , Nagoya Math. J. **96** (1984), 127–32.
- [16] P. G. J. Nijse, *Clemens’ conjecture for octic and nonic curves*, Indag. Math. (N.S) 6, (1995) no. 2, 213–221.
- [17] K. Oguiso, *Two remarks on Calabi–Yau Moishezon threefolds*, J. für die reine und angew. Math. **452** (1994), 153–62.
- [18] D. E. Sommervoll, *Rational curves on Complete intersection Calabi–Yau threefolds*, Dr.sci.-thesis, University of Oslo, 1997.